arXiv:1602.02976v1 [math.AG] 9 Feb 2016

On the mixed Hodge structures of the intersection cohomology stalks of complex hypersurfaces

TAKAHIRO SAITO

Abstract. We study the mixed Hodge structure of the stalk at 0 of the intersection cohomology complex of a hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^n$ defined by a polynomial f with an isolated singularity at 0. We express the dimension of each graded piece for the weight filtration of this mixed Hodge strutucre, in terms of the numbers of Jordan blocks of the Milnor monodromy of f.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
Acknowledgments 3
2. Intersection cohomology 3
3. Mixed Hodge structures and mixed Hodge modules 5
3.1. Mixed Hodge structures 5
3.2. Mixed Hodge modules 7
4. Milnor monodromies and IC stalks 9
4.1. Milnor monodromies 9
4.2. IC stalks and Milnor monodromies 11
5. Main theorem 15
6. Proof of Proposition 5.7 20
References 25

1. Introduction

In this paper, we reveal a relationship between the mixed Hodge structures of the stalks of the intersection cohomology complexes (we call them IC stalks for short) and the Milnor monodromies, by using the results from the computation of motivic Milnor fibers by Matsui-Takeuchi [9] and motivic nearby fibers by Stapledon [16], respectively.

Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial in n-variables with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$ such that $f(0) = 0$. Assume that f is convenient and non-degenerate at 0. We denote by V the hypersurface $\{x \in \mathbb{C}^n | f(x) = 0\}$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$, and assume that V has an isolated singular point at the origin 0. We denote by $\mathrm{IC}_V := \mathrm{IC}_V(\mathbb{Q})$ the intersection cohomology complex of V with rational coefficients. This is the underlying perverse sheaf of the mixed Hodge module IC_V^H. By Morihiko Saito's theory, the stalk $(\mathrm{IC}_V)_0$ of IC_V at 0 is a complex of mixed Hodge structures. However, to the best of our knowledge, the mixed Hodge structure of $(\mathrm{IC}_V)_0$ was not fully studied yet. For a complex C of mixed Hodge structures and an integer k, we say that C has a pure

weight k if $gr_j^W H^i(C) = 0$ $(j \neq i+k)$ holds, where $gr_j^W H^i(C)$ is the j-th graded piece of the i-th cohomology $H^i(C)$ of C. The purity of the weight of IC stalks is important. Kazhdan and Lustzig computed the Kazhdan-Lustzig polynomial by using the purity of IC stalks of Schubert cells in flag varieties in [7] (this paper is written in terms of the weight theory for étale sheaves over positive characteristics). Denef and Loeser computed the intersection cohomology of toric varieties by using the purity of IC stalks of affine toric varieties in [3]. Moreover, Denef-Loeser [3] states that if f is quasi-homogeneous, $(\mathrm{IC}_V)_0[-(n-1)](=: (\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0)$ has a pure weight 0 (see 4.12). Namely, the i-th cohomology of $(\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0$ has Hodge decomposition of weight i. Here, we say $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}} a_\alpha x^\alpha$ $(a_\alpha \in \mathbb{C})$ is quasi-homogeneous if there exists a n-tuple of integers, $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$, and a non zero number $C \neq 0$, such that $v \cdot \alpha = C$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$ such that $a_\alpha \neq 0$.

The restriction of f to the intersection of a small open ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$ centered at the origin and the inverse image of a small punctured disk in $\mathbb{C}$ by f is a locally trivial fibration called the Milnor fibration. We denote by F_0 its general fiber called the Milnor fiber. By Milnor's result in [10], F_0 is homotopic to a bouquet of $(n-1)$-spheres. Hence $H^i(F_0; \mathbb{Q}) \simeq 0$ $(i \neq 0, n-1)$. Considering a lift of a loop around the origin in $\mathbb{C}$, we can define an automorphism $\Phi_{0,n-1} \colon H^{n-1}(F_0; \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{n-1}(F_0; \mathbb{Q})$ called the Milnor monodromy. We denote by Γ_f the union of all compact faces of the Newton polyhedra $\mathrm{Conv}(\bigcup_{a_\alpha \neq 0} \{\alpha + \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}\})$ of f, and call it the Newton boundary of f. In Matsui-Takeuchi [9] and Stapledon [16], the authors showed that the Jordan normal form of $\Phi_{0,n-1}$ is determined by the shape of Γ_f under the condition that f is convenient and non-degenerate at 0.

On the other hand, it is well-known that the cohomology of $(\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0$ is zero except for 0-th or $(n-2)$-nd, and the dimension of the 0-th cohomology is 1, the dimension of $(n-2)$-nd cohomology is equal to the dimension of the invariant subspace of the Milnor monodromy (see Proposition 4.11). We say that $\Gamma_+(f)$ has no convexity toward the origin if the affine space spanned by Γ_f is a hyperplane (see Figure 1 and 2 of Section 4.2). In cases $n \geq 3$, it can be shown that there is no Jordan blocks with sizes > 1 for the eigenvalue 1 of the Milnor monodromy $\Phi_{0,n-1}$ if and only if $\Gamma_+(f)$ has no convexity toward the origin. For example, this condition is satisfied if f is quasi-homogeneous. On the other hand, one can show that if $\Gamma_+(f)$ has no convexity toward the origin, $(\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0$ has a pure weight (see Theorem 4.13). These phenomena suggest that there exists a tight relationship between the Milnor monodromies and the mixed Hodge structures of IC stalks. Therefore, in order to reveal this relation, it is natural to consider the mixed Hodge structure of the IC stalk when $\Gamma_+(f)$ has convexity toward the origin. Is a purity preserved even if there is convexity toward the origin, or not? This is the main theme of this paper.

In cases $n \geq 3$, we will show that $(\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0$ has a pure weight if and only if $\Gamma_+(f)$ has no convexity toward the origin. More precisely, the dimension of each graded pieces of the weight filtration of $(\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0$ can be described in terms of the number of Jordan blocks for the eigenvalue 1 of the Milnor monodromy.

Theorem 1.1 (see Theorem 5.1)**.** *Assume that f is convenient and non-degenerate at 0. We have* $\dim gr_0^W H^0((\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0) = 1$, $\dim gr_i^W H^0((\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0) = 0$ $(i \neq 0)$, *and*

$$\dim gr_i^W H^{n-2}((\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0) = J^1_{n-i-1} \ (i \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

where J^1_i is the number of Jordan blocks of $\Phi_{0,n-1}$ for the eigenvalue 1 with size i.

In particular, the IC stalk $(\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0$ has pure weight 0 if and only if there is no Jordan block of $\Phi_{0,n-1}$ for the eigenvalue 1 with size > 1.

Namely we have,

Corollary 1.2 (see Section 4.2)**.** *In the situation of Theorem 5.1, the following three conditions are equivalent.*

(i) *The IC stalk* $(\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0$ *has a pure weight* 0.
(ii) *The Newton polyhedron* $\Gamma_+(f)$ *of* f *has no convexity toward the origin.*
(iii) *There is no Jordan block of* $\Phi_{0,n-1}$ *for the eigenvalue* 1 *with size* > 1.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to express gratitude to Professor Kiyoshi Takeuchi for drawing attention to this problem and the several discussions for this work. His thanks goes also to Yuichi Ike for many discussions and answering his questions on sheaf theory, Tatsuki Kuwagaki answering his questions on algebraic varieties and toric varieties, Tomohiro Asano for discussions for Section 6. Without encouragement of members in the graduate student room 317, this paper would not have been realized.

2. Intersection cohomology

In this section, we introduce some fundamental notation, and recall intersection cohomology theory. We follow the notation of [6], [5]. Let X be an algebraic variety over $\mathbb{C}$, and $\mathbb{K}$ be a field. We denote by $D^b(\mathbb{K}_X)$ or $D^b(X)$ the bounded derived category of sheaves of $\mathbb{K}_X$-modules on X, where $\mathbb{K}_X$ is the constant sheaf on X with stalk $\mathbb{K}$. For $F \in D^b(X)$ and an integer $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $F[d]$ the shifted complex of F by degree d. Moreover, we denote by $\tau^{\geq d}F, \tau^{\leq d}F$ the truncated complexes of F. We denote by $D^b_c(X)$ the full triangulated subcategory of $D^b(X)$ consisting of complexes whose cohomology sheaves are constructible. For a morphism between algebraic varieties $f\colon X \to Y$, one can define Grothendieck's six operations Rf_*, $Rf_!$, f^{-1}, $f^!$, $\overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}$, $R\mathcal{H}om$ as functors of derived categories of sheaves. Let $g : X \to \mathbb{C}$ be an algebraic morphism. Denote by $\widetilde{\mathbb{C}^*}$ the universal covering of $\mathbb{C}^*$, and by $p\colon \widetilde{\mathbb{C}^*} \to \mathbb{C}^*$ the covering map. Consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & (X \setminus g^{-1}(0)) \times_{\mathbb{C}^*} \widetilde{\mathbb{C}^*} & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & \widetilde{\mathbb{C}^*} \\ & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & \square & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} \\ g^{-1}(0) & \xrightarrow[i]{} & X & \xleftarrow[j]{} & X \setminus g^{-1}(0) & \xrightarrow[g]{} & \mathbb{C}^*, \end{array}$$

where the maps i and j are the inclusions, and the maps π and π' are the projections. We define $\psi_g(F) := i^{-1}R(j \circ \pi)_*(j \circ \pi)^{-1}F$ for $F \in D^b_c(X)$. Moreover, we define $\phi_g(F)$ as the mapping cone of the morphism $F|_{g^{-1}(0)} \to \psi_g(F)$ in $D^b_c(g^{-1}(0))$. Then we can define the functors $\psi_g, \phi_g : D^b_c(X) \to D^b_c(g^{-1}(0))$, and call these *nearby cycle functor* and *vanishing cycle functor*, respectively.

If X is a purely n-dimensional smooth variety, there exists canonical isomorphisms

$$H^i(X;\mathbb{K}) \simeq (H^{2n-i}_c(X;\mathbb{K}))^* \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z})$$

by the Poincaré duality. However more generally, if X has some singular points we cannot expect such a nice symmetry in its cohomology groups $H^i(X;\mathbb{Q})$. Intersection cohomology theory was invented in order to overcome this problem. Nowadays this theory is formulated in terms of perverse sheaf theory.

Let X be a pure dimensional algebraic variety over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension n. We denote by $\mathrm{Perv}(X)(:=\mathrm{Perv}(\mathbb{K}_X))$ the category of perverse sheaves on X. This is a full abelian subcategory of $D^b_c(X)$. We denote by $U := X_{\mathrm{reg}}$ the regular part of X. Then $\mathbb{K}_U[n]$ is a perverse sheaf on U. Let $j\colon U \hookrightarrow V$ be the inclusion map. Then there exists a natural morphism $j_!\mathbb{K}_U[n] \to Rj_*\mathbb{K}_U[n]$ in $D^b_c(X)$. Taking the 0-th perverse cohomology groups of both sides, we obtain a morphism ${}^pj_!\mathbb{K}_U[n] \to {}^pRj_*\mathbb{K}_U[n]$ in $\mathrm{Perv}(X)$.

Definition 2.1. We define $\mathrm{IC}_X(\mathbb{K})(=:\mathrm{IC}_X)$ as the image of the morphism

$$ {}^pj_!\mathbb{K}_U[n] \to {}^pRj_*\mathbb{K}_U[n]$$

in the abelian category $\mathrm{Perv}(X)$. We call IC_X the *intersection cohomology complex* of X with coefficients $\mathbb{K}$.

Definition 2.2. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set

$$IH^i(X;\mathbb{K}) := H^i(\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X;\mathrm{IC}_X(\mathbb{K})[-n])),$$
$$IH^i_c(X;\mathbb{K}) := H^i(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X;\mathrm{IC}_X(\mathbb{K})[-n])).$$

We call $IH^i(X;\mathbb{K})$ the *i-th intersection cohomology group* of X (resp. $IH^i_c(X;\mathbb{K})$ the *i-th intersection cohomology group with compact support* of X).

Proposition 2.3. *In the situation as above, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have the generalized Poincaré duality isomorphism*

$$IH^i(X;\mathbb{K}) \simeq (IH^{2n-i}_c(X;\mathbb{K}))^*.$$

We can express IC_X more concretely as follows. Take a Whitnety stratification $X = \bigsqcup_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha$ of X, and set $X_k := \bigsqcup_{\dim X_\alpha \le k} X_\alpha$. Then we have a sequence of closed subvarieties

$$X = X_n \supset X_{n-1} \supset \cdots \supset X_0 \supset X_{-1} = \emptyset$$

of X. Set $U_k := X \setminus X_{k-1}$. Then we have a sequence of inclusion maps

$$U_n \overset{j_n}{\hookrightarrow} U_{n-1} \overset{j_{n-1}}{\hookrightarrow} \dots \overset{j_2}{\hookrightarrow} U_1 \overset{j_1}{\hookrightarrow} U_0 = X.$$

Proposition 2.4. *There is an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{IC}_X \simeq (\tau^{\le -1}Rj_{1*}) \circ (\tau^{\le -2}Rj_{1*}) \circ \cdots \circ (\tau^{\le -n}Rj_{n*})(\mathbb{K}_U[n])$$

in $D^b_c(X)$.

In particular, we have

Corollary 2.5. *Let V be a pure dimensional algebraic variety over $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension n, and assume that V has only one singular point at $p \in V$. Then we have an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{IC}_V \simeq \tau^{\le -1}(Rj_*\mathbb{K}_{V_{\mathrm{reg}}}[n]),$$

where $j : V_{\mathrm{reg}} = V \setminus \{p\} \hookrightarrow V$ is the inclusion map.

From now on, we mainly consider the shifted complex $\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V} := \mathrm{IC}_V[-n]$ of IC_V. In this situation, by Corollary 2.5, we have

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V} \simeq \tau^{\leq n-1} Rj_* \mathbb{K}_{V_{\mathrm{reg}}}.$$

3. Mixed Hodge structures and mixed Hodge modules

In this section, we recall the definitions and basic properties of mixed Hodge structures and mixed Hodge modules (see [5, Chapter 8] for the details).

3.1. **Mixed Hodge structures.** In this subsection, we recall fundamental notation of mixed Hodge structures.

Definition 3.1. We say that a pair $(H, F^\bullet)$ of a finite dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space H and a finite decreasing filtration $F^\bullet = \{F^i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of $H_\mathbb{C} := H \otimes_\mathbb{Q} \mathbb{C}$ is a *(pure) Hodge structure of weight* n if $H_\mathbb{C} = F^p \oplus \overline{F^{n-p+1}}$, for any $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here $\overline{F^i}$ is the comlex conjugation of $\overline{F^i}$ in $H_\mathbb{C}$ with respect to its real part. We call F the *Hodge filtration* of H.

In the situation as above, for $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, set $H^{p,q} := F^p \cap \overline{F^q}$. Then $H^{p,q} = \overline{H^{q,p}}$ and we have a direct sum decomposition

$$H_\mathbb{C} \simeq \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H^{p,q}.$$

We call it the *Hodge decomposition* of H. Moreover, $H^{p,q}$ is called the (p, q)*-part* of H.

Remark 3.2. Conversely, for a finite dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$-linear space H whose complexification $H_\mathbb{C}$ has a decomposition as above, we can define a Hodge structure $(H, F^\bullet)$ of weight n by $F^p := \bigoplus_{i\geq p} H^{i,n-i}$.

Example 3.3. Let X be a compact Kähler manifold. Then for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a direct sum decomposition

$$H^i(X;\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{C} \simeq H^i(X;\mathbb{C}) \simeq \bigoplus_{p+q=i} H^{p,q},$$

where $H^{p,q}$ is a vector subspace spanned by (p, q)-harmonic forms. By Remark 3.2, $H^i(X;\mathbb{Q})$ has a Hodge structure of weight n.

In particular, for a smooth projective variety X, $H^i(X;\mathbb{Q})$ has a Hodge structure of weight n. However a cohomology of a general algebraic variety may not have a pure Hodge structure. Nevertheless, Deligne [2] showed that for any algebraic variety X, $H^i(X;\mathbb{Q})$ has a canonical filtration and its each graded piece has a canonical Hodge structure (see Theorem 3.6).

Definition 3.4. We say that a triple $(H, F^\bullet, W_\bullet)$ of a finite dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space H, a finite decreasing filtration $F^\bullet = \{F^i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of $H_\mathbb{C} := H \otimes_\mathbb{Q} \mathbb{C}$ and a finite increasing filtration $W_\bullet = \{W_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of H is a *mixed Hodge structure* if $(gr_n^{W_\mathbb{C}} H_\mathbb{C} := (W_n)_\mathbb{C}/(W_{n-1})_\mathbb{C}, F^\bullet gr_n^{W_\mathbb{C}} H_\mathbb{C})$ is a Hodge structure of weight n, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here the filtration $W_\mathbb{C}$ of $H_\mathbb{C}$ is defined by $W_\mathbb{C} := \{W_n \otimes \mathbb{C}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, and $F^\bullet gr_n^{W_\mathbb{C}} H_\mathbb{C}$ is a filtration of $gr_n^{W_\mathbb{C}} H_\mathbb{C}$ induced by $F^\bullet$. We call $F^\bullet$ a *Hodge filtration* of H, and we call $W_\bullet$ a *weight filtration* of H.

In what follows, we simply write W for $W_{\mathbb{C}}$. The category of mixed Hodge structures is denoted by SHM. This is an abelian category. Hence we can consider the bounded derived category of mixed Hodge structures $D^b(SHM)$.

For $(p,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and a mixed Hodge structure $(H, F^\bullet, W_\bullet)$, $gr^W_{p+q}H_{\mathbb{C}}$ has a Hodge structure of weight $p+q$ by definition. The dimension of its (p,q)-part as a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space, i.e. $\dim gr^p_F gr^W_{p+q}H_{\mathbb{C}}$, is called the (p,q)-*mixed Hodge number*.

Remark 3.5. Let $(H, F^\bullet)$ be a Hodge structure of weight n. Define a filtration $W_\bullet = \{W_i\}$ of H by $W_i := H$ $(i \geq n), W_i := 0$ $(i < n)$. Then we have $gr^W_n H_{\mathbb{C}} = H_{\mathbb{C}}$, and $gr^W_i H_{\mathbb{C}} = 0$ $(i \neq n)$. Therefore the triple $(H, F^\bullet, W_\bullet)$ defines a mixed Hodge structure. Namely the notion of the mixed Hodge structures is a generalization of that of the Hodge structures.

Theorem 3.6 (Deligne [2])**.** *Let X be an arbitrary algebraic variety. Then by a theorem of Deligne, $H^i(X;\mathbb{Q})$ and $H^i_c(X;\mathbb{Q})$ have canonical mixed Hodge structures for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We call these mixed Hodge structure Deligne's mixed Hodge structure. If X is a smooth projective variety, Deligne's mixed Hodge structure of $H^i(X;\mathbb{Q})$ coincides with the pure Hodge structure of Example 3.3 in the meaning of Remark 3.5.*

Let $f\colon \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ be a polynomial map. Then for a sufficiently small $\eta > 0$, the restriction of f to $f^{-1}(B(0,\eta)^*)$ is a locally trivial fibration. Here we denote by $B(0,\eta)$ the open ball in $\mathbb{C}$ centered at 0 with radius η, and by $B(0,\eta)^*$ the punctured open ball $B(0,\eta) \setminus \{0\}$.

For a fixed $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}$ and each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $H^i_c(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{Q})$ has a canonical mixed Hodge structure by Theorem 3.6. We denote by $F^\bullet$, $W_\bullet$ the Hodge filtration and the weight filtration of this. Considering a loop around 0 in $B(0,\eta)^*$, we can define a monodromy automorphism

$$T\colon H^i_c(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{C}) \to H^i_c(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{C}).$$

It can be decomposed as $T = T_s T_u$, where T_s is the semisimple part of T and T_u is the unipotent part of T. By the nilpotent operator $N := \log T_u$, we can define another filtration $M_\bullet$ on $H^i_c(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{C})$. For $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $M(r)_\bullet$ the filtration of $H^i_c(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{C})$ induced by $M_\bullet$, and denote by $N(r)$ the nilpotent operator on $gr^W_r H^i_c(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{C})$ induced by N. Then $M(r)$ satisfies the following properties:

(i) $M(r)_{2r} = gr^W_r H^i_c(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{C})$,
(ii) $N(M(r)_k) \subset M(r)_{k-2}$ for all $k \geq 0$,
(iii) the induced map $gr^{M(r)}_{r+k} gr^W_r H^i_c(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{C}) \to gr^{M(r)}_{r-k} gr^W_r H^i_c(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{C})$ is an isomorphism.

For an eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ of T, we denote by $H^i_c(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{C})_\lambda$ the generalized eigenspace of T for the eigenvalue λ. The number of Jordan blocks of the operator on $gr^W_r H^i_c(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{C})$ induced by T for the eigenvalue λ with size k is equal to

$$\dim gr^{M(r)}_{r+1-k} gr^W_r H^i_c(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{C})_\lambda - \dim gr^{M(r)}_{r-1-k} gr^W_r H^i_c(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{C})_\lambda.$$

Theorem 3.7 (Steenbrink-Zucker [18])**.** *The triple $(H^i_c(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{Q}), F^\bullet, M_\bullet)$ defines a mixed Hodge structure. This is called the limit mixed Hodge structure.*

Eventually, $H^i_c(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{Q})$ has three filtrations $(F^\bullet, M_\bullet, W_\bullet)$. We set

$$h^{p,q,r}_\lambda(H^i_c(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{Q})) := \dim gr^p_F gr^M_{p+q} gr^W_r (H^i_c(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{C})_\lambda).$$

We have the following symmetry:

$$h_\lambda^{p,q,r}(H_c^i(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{Q})) = h_\lambda^{r-q,r-p,r}(H_c^i(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{Q})).$$

Moreover, for r and $0 \leq q \leq r$, the sequence with respect to p

$$\{h_\lambda^{p+q,p,r}(H_c^i(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{Q})) \mid 0 \leq p \leq r-q\}$$

is symmetric. This mixed Hodge structure encodes the data of the monodromy T only partially. However in the good situation such as the following, it completely recovers the Jordan normal form of the monodromy.

Proposition 3.8 ([16, Example 6.7])**.** *Assume that f is convenient ,non-degenerate, and has no constant term. Then we have $H_c^i(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{C}) = 0$ $(i \neq n-1, 2(n-1))$, and the action of monodromy on $H_c^{2(n-1)}(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ is trivial. For $r \neq n-1$, the action of monodromy on the graded piece $gr_r^W H_c^{n-1}(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{C})$ is trivial. In particular, it follows from the definition of $M_\bullet$ that for $r \neq n-1$, we have*

$$gr_q^M gr_r^W H_c^{n-1}(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{C}) = 0 \quad (q \neq r).$$

This result follows from a deep argument combining the computation of the motivic nearby fiber and some combinatorial results (see Stapledon [16]). This proposition implies that for any eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 1$, the filtration of $H_c^{n-1}(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{C})_\lambda$ induced by the monodromy filtration $M_\bullet$ can be recovered from the filtration of the graded piece $gr_{n-1}^W H_c^{n-1}(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{C})_\lambda$ induced by $M_\bullet$. Eventually, the Jordan normal form for the eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 1$ can be recovered from the limit mixed Hodge number.

Finally, we define *virtual Poincaré polynomials*.

Definition 3.9. Let C be a complex of mixed Hodge structures. We define a Laurent polynomial $P(C)$ with coefficients $\mathbb{Z}$ by

$$P(C)(T) := \sum_{w\in\mathbb{Z}} (\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^i \dim gr_w^W H^i(C)) T^w \ \in \mathbb{Z}[T^{\pm}].$$

We call it the virtual Poincaré polynomial of C.

Virtual Poincaré polynomials satisfy the following property.

Proposition 3.10. *Let $C \to D \to E \xrightarrow{+1}$ be a distinguished triangle in $D^b(SHM)$. Then we have*

$$P(C) + P(E) = P(D) \quad \text{and} \quad P(C \otimes D) = P(C)P(D).$$

3.2. **Mixed Hodge modules.** We refer to [5], [13], [14], [15] for mixed Hodge module theory.

Notation 3.11. Let X be an algebraic variety over $\mathbb{C}$. We denote by $MHM(X)$ the category of *mixed Hodge modules* on X, and by $D^bMHM(X)$ the bounded derived category of mixed Hodge modules. Denote by $\mathrm{rat}\colon MHM(X) \to \mathrm{Perv}(X)$ a forgetful functor, which assigns a mixed Hodge module to its underlying perverse sheaf. The functor rat induces a functor from $D^bMHM(X)$ to $D^b(\mathrm{Perv}(X)) \simeq D_c^b(X)$, we denote it by the same symbol rat.

We denote by $MF_{rh}(D_X, \mathbb{Q})$ a category of triples $(\mathcal{M}, F^\bullet, K)$ of $\mathcal{M}$ is regular holonomic D_X-module and $F^\bullet$ is a good filtration of $\mathcal{M}$ and K is in $\mathrm{Perv}(X)$ such that the image of $\mathcal{M}$ under the de Rham functor is $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} K$. We write

$MF_{rh}W(D_X,\mathbb{Q})$ for a category of quadruples $(\mathcal{M},F^\bullet,K,W_\bullet)$ of $(\mathcal{M},F^\bullet,K)\in MF_{rh}(D_X,\mathbb{Q})$ and its finite increasing filtration $W_\bullet$ in the category $MF_{rh}(D_X,\mathbb{Q})$. Recall that if X is smooth, $MHM(X)$ is defined as an abelian subcategory of $MF_{rh}W(D_X,\mathbb{Q})$, If X is singular, $MHM(X)$ is defined by using a locally embedding of X into a smooth variety.

The category of mixed Hodge modules on a one point set $\{pt\}$ is equivalent to the category of polarizable mixed Hodge structures SHM^p. Let $f\colon X\to Y$ and $g\colon X\to\mathbb{C}$ be algebraic morphisms. We have functors between derived categories of mixed Hodge modules, f_*, f^*, $f_!$, $f^!$, ψ_g, ϕ_g, D, $\otimes$, $\mathcal{H}om$, and all of them are compatible with the corresponding functor on $D^b_c(X)$ via the functor rat. Here we use the notation in [14] for the above functors. For example, $\mathrm{rat}\circ f_*=Rf_*\circ\mathrm{rat}$ as a functor from $D^bMHM(X)$ into $D^b_c(X)$.

The following concept is important.

Definition 3.12. Let $\mathcal{V}\in D^bMHM(X)$. We denote by W the weight filtration of $\mathcal{V}$. For all $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, we say that $\mathcal{V}$ *has mixed weights* $\le n$ (resp. $\ge n$) if $gr^W_iH^j(\mathcal{V})=0$ $(i>j+n)$ (resp. $i<j+n$), we say that $\mathcal{V}$ *has a pure weight* n if $gr^W_iH^j(\mathcal{V})=0$ $(i\ne j+n)$.

For a relation between weight and functors of derived categories of mixed Hodge modules, the following property is known.

Proposition 3.13 ([13])**.** *Let X,Y,Z be algebraic varieties, $f\colon X\to Y g\colon Z\to X$ be algebraic morphisms between varieties. Let $\mathcal{V}\in D^bMHM(X)$. Then we have the following:*

(i) *If $\mathcal{V}$ has mixed weights $\le n$, then $f_!\mathcal{V}$ and $g^*\mathcal{V}$ has mixed weights $\le n$.*
(ii) *If $\mathcal{V}$ has mixed weights $\ge n$, then $f_*\mathcal{V}$ and $g^!\mathcal{V}$ has mixed weights $\ge n$.*

In particular, if f is proper morphism, the functor $f_*=f_!$ preserves the property that $\mathcal{V}$ has a pure weight.

Let X be an algebraic variety, and Let $a_X\colon X\to\{pt\}$ be a map X to the one point set. For the trivial Hodge module $\mathbb{Q}^H_{pt}$ on $\{pt\}$, set $\mathbb{Q}^H_X:=a_X^*\mathbb{Q}^H_{pt}$. Since the image of $a_{X*}\mathbb{Q}^H_X$ under rat is $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X;\mathbb{Q}_X)$, $H^i(X;\mathbb{Q})$ has a mixed Hodge structure, for $i\in\mathbb{Z}$. This coincides with the mixed Hodge structure in Theorem 3.6. Similarly, by considering $a_{X!}\mathbb{Q}^H_X$, $H^i_c(X;\mathbb{Q})$ has a mixed Hodge structure which coincides with the one in Theorem 3.6.

Let $f:\mathbb{C}^n\to\mathbb{C}$ be a polynomial map. Taking a sufficiently small $\eta>0$, the restriction of f to $f^{-1}(B(0,\eta)^*)$ is a locally trivial fibration. Therefore $Rf_!\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ is a cohomologically locally constant sheaf on $B(0,\eta)^*$ whose stalk at each point $p\in B(0,\eta)$ is $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(f^{-1}(p);\mathbb{Q}_{f^{-1}(p)})$. Note that $f_!\mathbb{Q}^H_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ is an object of $D^bMHM(\mathbb{C})$ whose underlying perverse sheaf is $Rf_!\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$. We denote by t the coordinate of $\mathbb{C}$. Applying the nearby cycle functor of t to $Rf_!\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$, we obtain a complex of mixed Hodge structures $\psi_tRf_!\mathbb{Q}^H_{\mathbb{C}^n}$. Its image under rat is $\psi_tRf_!\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{C}^n}$, which is isomorphic to $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{Q})$ as an object of $D^b(\mathrm{Mod}(\mathbb{Q}))$ for a sufficiently small $\epsilon\in\mathbb{C}^*$. Taking its cohomology, $H^i_c(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{Q})$ has a mixed Hodge structure, which coincides with limit mixed Hodge structure introduced in Section 3.1.

Let X be an algebraic variety over $\mathbb{C}$. Set $n:=\dim X$. It is well-known there is an object of $MHM(X)$ whose underlying perverse sheaf is IC_X. This is denoted by IC^H_X. Note that $a_{X*}\mathrm{IC}^H_X[-n]$ is a complex of mixed Hodge structures whose image under the functor rat is $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X;\mathrm{IC}_X[-n])$. Therefore, $IH^i(X;\mathbb{Q})$ has a mixed Hodge structure. $IH^i_c(X;\mathbb{Q})$ is similar.

It is known that IC_X^H has a pure weight n. Then $\mathrm{IC}_X^H[-n]$ has a pure weight 0. According to Proposition 3.13, $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X;\mathrm{IC}_X[-n])$ has a mixed weight ≥ 0, and thus $gr_i^W IH^j(X;\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ $(i < j)$. If X is complete, $a_{X*} = a_{X!}$, and hence $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X;\mathrm{IC}_X[-n])$ has a pure weight 0 by Proposition 3.13. Therefore $gr_i^W IH^j(X;\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ $(i \neq j)$, and the virtual Poincaré polynomial of $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X;\mathrm{IC}_X[-n])$ is

$$P(\mathrm{R}\Gamma(X;\mathrm{IC}_X[-n]))(T) = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^i \dim IH^i(X;\mathbb{Q})T^i.$$

Fix a point p in X. We denote by $i_p\colon \{p\} \hookrightarrow X$ the inclusion mapping. Then the image of $i_p^*\mathrm{IC}_X^H[-n]$ under the functor rat is $(\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_X})_p$, and has a mixed Hodge structure. From Proposition 3.13, this has mixed weights ≤ 0. This property will play an important role in the proof of Theorem 5.1.

4. Milnor monodromies and IC stalks

4.1. **Milnor monodromies.** Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ be a polynomial in n-variables with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$ such that $f(0) = 0$. For $\epsilon > 0$, we denote by $B(0,\epsilon)$ the open ball in $\mathbb{C}$ centered at 0 with radius ϵ. The following is known.

Theorem 4.1 (Milnor [10])**.** *There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for a sufficiently small $(\epsilon \gg)\eta > 0$, the restriction of f*

$$f\colon B(0,\epsilon) \cap f^{-1}(B(0,\eta)^*) \to B(0,\eta)^*$$

is a locally trivial fibration. Moreover, if 0 is an isolated singular point in $f^{-1}(0)$, its generic fiber is homotopic to a bouquet of $(n-1)$-spheres (i.e. a one point union of $(n-1)$-spheres).

This fibration is called the *Milnor fibration* of f at 0, and its generic fiber F_0 is called the *Milnor fiber*. By this proposition, if 0 is an isolated singular point of $f^{-1}(0)$, we have $H^i(F_0;\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ $(i \neq 0, n-1)$, $H^0(F_0;\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$, and the dimension of $H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{Q})$ is equal to the number of $(n-1)$-spheres in a bouquet which is homotopic to the F_0 called the *Milnor number*. By using the homotopy lifting property of the Milnor fibration, we can construct a lift of a loop around the origin in $\mathbb{C}^*$, and obtain an automorphism of F_0 called the *geometric Milnor monodromy*. This induces an automorphism

$$\Phi_{0,i}\colon H^i(F_0;\mathbb{C}) \to H^i(F_0;\mathbb{C}).$$

This automorphism is called the *i-th Milnor monodromy* of f at 0. In this paper, when 0 is an isolated singular point of $f^{-1}(0)$, we call $\Phi_{0,n-1}$ the *Milnor monodromy* of f, for short. The following well-known fact for $\Phi_{0,n-1}$ is called the *monodromy theorem*.

Theorem 4.2. *Assume that 0 is an isolated singular point of $f^{-1}(0)$. Then any eigenvalue of $\Phi_{0,n-1}$ is a root of unity. Moreover, the maximal size of the Jordan blocks of $\Phi_{0,n-1}$ for the eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 1$ (resp. 1) is bounded by n (resp. $n-1$).*

In what follows, we assume that 0 is an isolated singular point of $f^{-1}(0)$. Then by a theorem of Steenbrink [17], $H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{Q})$ has a canonical mixed Hodge structure, called the limit mixed Hodge structure. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, let $H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{Q})_\lambda$ be the generalized eigenspace of $\Phi_{0,n-1}$ for the eigenvalue λ, and set

$$h_\lambda^{p,q}(H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{Q})) := \dim gr_F^p gr_{p+q}^W(H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{C})_\lambda).$$

For $h_\lambda^{p,q}$, the following results are well-known (see [9]).

Proposition 4.3. *(i) For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \setminus \{1\}$ and $(p,q) \notin [0,n-1]\times[0,n-1]$ we have $h_\lambda^{p,q}(H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{Q})) = 0$. For $(p,q) \in [0,n-1]\times[0,n-1]$ we have*

$$h_\lambda^{p,q}(H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{Q})) = h_\lambda^{n-1-q,n-1-p}(H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{Q})).$$

(ii) For $(p,q) \notin [1,n-1]\times[1,n-1]$ we have $h_1^{p,q}(H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{Q})) = 0$. For $(p,q) \in [1,n-1]\times[1,n-1]$ we have

$$h_1^{p,q}(H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{Q})) = h_1^{n-q,n-p}(H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{Q})).$$

Proposition 4.4. *(i) For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \setminus 1, k \geq 1$, the number of the Jordan blocks of $\Phi_{0,n-1}$ with sizes $\geq k$ for the eigenvalue λ is equal to*

$$\sum_{p+q=n-2+k,n-1+k} h_\lambda^{p,q}(H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{Q}))$$

(ii) For $k \geq 1$, the number of the Jordan blocks of $\Phi_{0,n-1}$ with sizes $\geq k$ for the eigenvalue 1 is equal to

$$\sum_{p+q=n-1+k,n+k} h_1^{p,q}(H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{Q}))$$

In what follows, we denote by J_k^λ the number of the Jordan blocks of $\Phi_{0,n-1}$ with size k for the eigenvalue λ.

By Proposition 4.4, we can describe the dimension of each graded piece $gr_i^W H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{C})_\lambda$ of $H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{C})$ with respect to the weight filtration of $H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{C})_\lambda$ as follows.

Proposition 4.5. *(i) Assume that $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \setminus \{1\}$. Then for $i < 0$ or $2(n-1) < i$, $gr_i^W H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{C})_\lambda = 0$. For $0 \leq i \leq 2(n-1)$, $\dim gr_i^W H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{C})_\lambda$ is symmetric centered at degree $n-1$. Namely, we have*

$$\dim gr^W_{2(n-1)-i} H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{C})_\lambda = \dim gr_i^W H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{C})_\lambda.$$

Moreover, for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, we have

$$\dim gr_i^W H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{C})_\lambda = \sum_{j=0} J^\lambda_{n-i+2j}.$$

(ii) For $i < 2$ or $2(n-1) < i$, $gr_i^W H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{C})_1 = 0$. For $0 \leq i \leq 2(n-1)$, $\dim gr_i^W H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{C})_1$ is symmetric centered at degree n. Namely, we have

$$\dim gr^W_{2(n-1)-i} H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{C})_1 = \dim_i^W H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{C})_1.$$

Moreover, for $2 \leq i \leq n$, we have

$$\dim gr_i^W H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{C})_1 = \sum_{j=0} J^1_{n-1-i+2j}.$$

By Proposition 4.5 we have the following table for the dimensions of the graded pieces of $H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{C})_\lambda$.

i	0	1	2	3	$\cdots$
$\dim gr_i^W H^{n-1}(F_0)_\lambda$	J_n^λ	J_{n-1}^λ	$J_{n-2}^\lambda + J_n^\lambda$	$J_{n-3}^\lambda + J_{n-1}^\lambda$	$\cdots$

$n-2$	$n-1$	n	$\cdots$	$2(n-1)$
$J_2^\lambda + J_4^\lambda + \cdots$	$J_1^\lambda + J_3^\lambda + \cdots$	$J_2^\lambda + J_4^\lambda + \cdots$	$\cdots$	J_n^λ

TABLE 1. The case $\lambda \neq 1$

i	2	3	4	5	$\cdots$
$\dim gr_i^W H^{n-1}(F_0)_1$	J^1_{n-1}	J^1_{n-2}	$J^1_{n-3}+J^1_{n-1}$	$J^1_{n-4}+J^1_{n-2}$	$\cdots$

$n-1$	n	$n+1$	$\cdots$	$2(n-1)$
$J^1_2+J^1_4+\cdots$	$J^1_1+J^1_3+\cdots$	$J^1_2+J^1_4+\cdots$	$\cdots$	J^1_{n-1}

TABLE 2. The case $\lambda = 1$

Next, in order to explain some facts for the numbers of the Jordan blocks of $\Phi_{0,n-1}$, we recall some familiar notions about polynomials.

Definition 4.6. Let $f = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^n} a_\alpha x^\alpha \in \mathbb{C}[x_1^\pm,\dots,x_n^\pm]$ be a Laurent polynomial with coefficients $\mathbb{C}$. In (ii)-(iv), we assume that $f = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}} a_\alpha x^\alpha$ be a polynomial such that $f(0) = 0$.

(i) We call the convex hull of $\mathrm{supp}(f) = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0} | a_\alpha \neq 0\}$ the *Newton polytope* of f and denote it by $NP(f)$.
(ii) We call the convex hull of $\bigcup_{\alpha\in\mathrm{supp}\,(f)}\{\alpha+\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}\}$ the *Newton polyhedron* of f at the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, and denote it by $\Gamma_+(f)$.
(iii) We call the union of all bounded face of Γ_f the *Newton boundary* of f, and denote it by Γ_f.
(iv) We say that f is *convenient*, if $\Gamma_+(f)$ intersects all coordinate of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

For a polytope F in $\mathbb{R}^n$, set $f_F := \sum_{\alpha\in F} a_\alpha x^\alpha$.

Definition 4.7. Let $f = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^n} a_\alpha x^\alpha \in \mathbb{C}[x_1^\pm,\dots,x_n^\pm]$ be a Laurent polynomial with coefficients $\mathbb{C}$. If the hypersurface $\{x \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n | f_F(x) = 0\}$ of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ is smooth and reduced for all face F of $NP(f)$, we say that f is *non-degenerate*.

Definition 4.8. Let $f = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}} a_\alpha x^\alpha \in \mathbb{C}[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ be a polynomial with coefficients $\mathbb{C}$ such that $f(0) = 0$. If the hypersurface $\{x \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n | f_F(x) = 0\}$ of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ is smooth and reduced for all face F of Γ_f, we say f is *non-degenerate at* $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

Let $q_1, ..., q_l \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ be all vertices in $\Gamma_f \cap \mathrm{int}(\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0})$. We denote by $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ the lattice distance between q_i and $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and by Π_f the number of lattice points in the union of one dimensional faces of $\Gamma_f \cap \mathrm{int}(\mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0})$.

Proposition 4.9 ([19], [9])**.** *Assume that f is convenient and non-degenerate at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then we have*

(i) *For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \setminus \{1\}$, $J^\lambda_n = \#\{q_i | \lambda^{d_i} = 1\}$,*
(ii) *$J^1_{n-1} = \Pi_f$.*

The next theorem is shown by Matsui-Takeuchi [9].

Theorem 4.10 ([9])**.** *If f is convenient and non-degenerate at 0, all J^λ_i is determined from the shape of Γ_f.*

4.2. **IC stalks and Milnor monodromies.** As we mentioned in the introduction, there is some relationship between IC stalks and Milnor monodromies. Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ be a polynomial in n-variables with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$ such that $f(0) = 0$. We assume that 0 is an isolated singular point of $V = f^{-1}(0) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. We denote by N the dimension of the invariant subspace of $H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{Q})$ by $\Phi_{0,n-1}$. Note that

N is equal to $\sum_{k\geq 1} J_k^1$ the number of the Jordan blocks of the Milnor monodromy $\Phi_{0,n-1}$ for the eigenvalue 1.

Proposition 4.11 (cf. [11, Lemma 4.3])**.** *If $n \geq 3$, we have*

$$\dim H^i((\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0) = \begin{cases} 1 & (i=0) \\ N & (i=n-2) \\ 0 & (otherwise). \end{cases}$$

If $n = 2$, we have

$$\dim H^i((\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0) = \begin{cases} N+1 & (i=0) \\ 0 & (otherwise). \end{cases}$$

Proof. First, we consider the case $n \geq 3$. By the assumption that 0 is an isolated singular point of V, the regular part of V is $V \setminus \{0\}$. We denote by $j\colon V \setminus \{0\} \hookrightarrow V$ the inclusion map. By Corollary 2.5, we have

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V} \simeq \tau^{\leq n-2} Rj_* \mathbb{Q}_{V\setminus\{0\}} \quad \text{in } D^b(V).$$

Hence it is sufficient to observe $Rj_*\mathbb{Q}_{V\setminus\{0\}}$. We denote by S^{2n-1} the sphere in $\mathbb{C}^n$ centered at 0 with radius ϵ, and by S^1 the sphere in $\mathbb{C}$centered at 0 with radius η, for ϵ, η in Theorem 4.1. We define $L := V \cap S^{2n-1}$. The set L is a smooth real $2n-3$ dimensional manifold, and called the *link of f at* 0. Then, it is known that

$$g := f/|f|\colon S^{2n-1} \setminus L \to S^1$$

is a fibration which is homotopic to the Milnor fibration in Theorem 4.1. This is also called the Milnor fibration. By [10, Corollary 2.10], $(\operatorname{Cone} L, \operatorname{Cone} L \setminus \{p\})$ is homeomorphic to $(V \cap B(0,\epsilon), (V \setminus \{0\}) \cap B(0,\epsilon))$ as a pair of topological spaces, where $\operatorname{Cone} L$ is a quotient space of $L \times [0,1]$ by $L \times \{1\}$, and denote by p the collapsed one point. Therefore,

$$H^i((Rj_*\mathbb{Q}_{V\setminus\{0\}})_0) \simeq H^i(L;\mathbb{Q}).$$

Consider the following distinguished triangle

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(S^{2n-1} \setminus L;\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{R}\Gamma(S^{2n-1};\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{R}\Gamma(L;\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{+1}. \tag{1}$$

Note that $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(S^{2n-1} \setminus L;\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathrm{R}\Gamma(S^1; Rg_!\mathbb{Q}_{S^{2n-1}\setminus L})$. Consider the following distinguished triangle

$$\tau^{\leq n-1} Rg_!\mathbb{Q}_{S^{2n-1}\setminus L} \to Rg_!\mathbb{Q}_{S^{2n-1}\setminus L} \to \tau^{\geq n} Rg_!\mathbb{Q}_{S^{2n-1}\setminus L} \xrightarrow{+1} \tag{2}$$

Since g is locally trivial fibration whose fiber is homotopic to a bouquet of $(n-1)$-spheres, we have $H^j(Rg_!\mathbb{Q}_{S^{2n-1}}) = 0$ $(i \neq n-1, 2(n-1))$, $H^{2(n-1)}(Rg_!\mathbb{Q}_{S^{2n-1}}) \simeq \mathbb{Q}_{S^1}$, and $H^{n-1}(Rg_!\mathbb{Q}_{S^{2n-1}})$ is a locally constant sheaf whose stalk is isomorphic to $H_c^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{Q})$. Denoting this locally constant sheaf by $\mathcal{L}$, we have

$$\tau^{\leq n-1} Rg_!\mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathbb{Q}[-2(n-1)],$$
$$\tau^{\geq n} Rg_!\mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathcal{L}[-(n-1)].$$

In order to compute $H^i(S^1;\mathcal{L})$, we consider an open covering of S^1, $\mathcal{U} := \{U_1, U_2\}$. Here

$$U_1 = \{\eta e^{i\theta} | -3\pi/4 < \theta < 3\pi/4\}, U_2 = \{\eta e^{i\theta} | \pi/4 < \theta < 7\pi/4\}.$$

and

$$W_1 := \{\eta e^{i\theta} | \pi/4 < \theta < 3\pi/4\}, W_2 := \{\eta e^{i\theta} | 5\pi/4 < \theta < 7\pi/4\}.$$

Set $p_1 := \eta i$, $p_2 := -\eta i$. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is a locally constant sheaf, $\mathcal{U}$ is a Leray covering with respect to $\mathcal{L}$ (i.e. $H^i(U_k;\mathcal{L}) = H^i(W_k;\mathcal{L}) = 0$ $(i > 0, k = 1, 2)$). We denote by $H^i(\mathcal{U};\mathcal{L})$ the i-th Čech cohomology of $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to the covering $\mathcal{U}$. Then,

$$H^i(S^1;\mathcal{L}) \simeq H^i(\mathcal{U};\mathcal{L}).$$

Consider the Čech complex of $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to $\mathcal{U}$:

$$0 \to C^0(\mathcal{U};\mathcal{L}) \to C^1(\mathcal{U};\mathcal{L}) \to 0 \to 0 \to \cdots.$$

Thus, we have $H^i(S^1;\mathcal{L}) = 0$ $(i \neq 0, 1)$. We have a natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} C^0(\mathcal{U};\mathcal{L}) &\simeq \mathcal{L}(U_1) \oplus \mathcal{L}(U_2) \simeq \mathcal{L}_{p_1} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{p_1} \\ C^1(\mathcal{U};\mathcal{L}) &\simeq \mathcal{L}(W_1) \oplus \mathcal{L}(W_2) \simeq \mathcal{L}_{p_1} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{p_2} \simeq \mathcal{L}_{p_1} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{p_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the last isomorphism is induced by a clockwise arc which goes p_2 from p_1. Moreover, under the identification $\mathcal{L}_{p_i} \simeq H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{Q})$, the Cĕch boundary morphism $C^0(\mathcal{U};\mathcal{L}) \simeq H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{Q}) \oplus H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{Q}) \to C^1(\mathcal{U};\mathcal{L}) \simeq H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{Q}) \oplus H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{Q})$ is expressed by the following matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -\Phi_{0,n-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where 1 is the identity map. Thus both $\dim H^0(S^1;\mathcal{L})$ and $\dim H^1(S^1;\mathcal{L})$ are equal to the dimension of the kernel of $\Phi_{0,n-1} - 1$. This is equal to N, the dimension of the invariant subspace of $\Phi_{0,n-1}$. Then by (2), we have

$$H^i(S^1; Rg_!\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & (i \neq n-1, n, 2(n-1), 2(n-1)+1) \\ \mathbb{Q}^N & (i = n-1, n) \\ \mathbb{Q} & (i = 2(n-1), 2(n-1)+1). \end{cases}$$

Then by (1), we have

$$H^i(L;\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & (i \neq 0, n-2, n-1, 2(n-1)-1) \\ \mathbb{Q} & (i = 0, 2(n-1)-1) \\ \mathbb{Q}^N & (i = n-2, n-1). \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} H^i((\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0) &\simeq H^i(\tau^{\leq n-2} Rj_*\mathbb{Q}) \\ &\simeq \begin{cases} H^i(L;\mathbb{Q}) & (i \leq n-2) \\ 0 & (i > n-1) \end{cases} \\ &\simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & (i = 0) \\ \mathbb{Q}^N & (i = n-2) \\ 0 & (i \neq 0, n-2). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This complete the proof. A similar proof can be applied to the case $n = 2$. □

As mentioned in Section 3.2, $(\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0$ is a complex of mixed Hodge structures which has a mixed weight ≤ 0 (see Proposition 3.13). Then we have

$$gr_i^W H^0((\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0) = 0 \ (i > 0), gr_i^W H^{n-2}((\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0) = 0 \ (i > n-1).$$

We can not say immediately $(\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0$ has a pure weight, but it has a pure weight in a good situation.

Proposition 4.12. ([3]) *Let X be a pure dimensional algebraic variety over $\mathbb{C}$, and $p \in X$ be a one point in X. Assume there is a morphism of algebraic varieties $h\colon X \times \mathbb{C} \to X$ such that:*

(i) $h^{-1}(p) = X \times \{0\} \cup \{p\} \times \mathbb{C}$
(ii) $\widetilde{h_0}\colon X_0 \times \mathbb{C}^* \to X_0 \times \mathbb{C}^*\colon (x,t) \mapsto (h(x,t),t)$ *is an isomorphism of algebraic varieties, where* $X_0 := X \setminus \{p\}$.

Then, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $H^i(X; \widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_X}) \simeq H^i((\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_X})_0)$. In particular, $(\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_X})_0$ has a pure weight 0 *(see Proposition 3.13).*

Assume that $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}} x^\alpha \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ is quasi-homogeneous and $f(0) = 0$. Namely, there exist $C > 0$ and $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \neq 0$ such that $v \cdot \alpha = C$, for all α with $a_\alpha \neq 0$. Set $V := f^{-1}(0)$. In this case, we can define an action of $\mathbb{C}$ on V as follows. For $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in V$,

$$c \cdot (x_1, \ldots, x_n) := (c^{v_1} x_1, \ldots, c^{v_n} x_n).$$

Then, V and this action satisfies the assumption in Proposition 4.12. Thus, in this case, $(\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0$ has a pure weight 0.

We say that $\Gamma_+(f)$ *has no convexity toward the origin* if the affine space spanned by Γ_f is hyperplane (see Figure 1 and 2). For example, the Newton polyhedron $\Gamma_+(f)$ of a quasi-homogeneous polynomial has no convexity toward the origin.



FIGURE 1. $\Gamma_+(f)$ has no convexity toward the origin



FIGURE 2. $\Gamma_+(f)$ has convexity toward the origin

Under the assumption that f is convenient and non-degenerate at 0, by Proposition 5.7, it will be shown that the shape of the Newton polyhedron determines the mixed Hodge structure of $(\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0$. Hence, taking account of above argument,

Theorem 4.13. *Assume that f is convenient and non-degenerate at* 0. *If the Newton polyhedron $\Gamma_+(f)$ of f has no convexity toward the origin, $(\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0$ has a pure weight* 0.

On the other hand, using Propositon 4.9 in cases $n \geq 3$, if $\Gamma_+(f)$ has convexity toward the origin, there is at least one Jordan block of $\Phi_{0,n-1}$ with size $n-1 (> 1)$ for the eigenvalue 1. Moreover, it is known that the Milnor monodromy of a quasi-homogeneous polynomial is semisimple. Consider the following conditions for a polynomial f (with degree $n \geq 3$):

(i) the IC stalk $(\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0$ has a pure weight 0,
(ii) the Newton polyhedron $\Gamma_+(f)$ of f has no convexity toward the origin,

(iii) there is no Jordan block of $\Phi_{0,n-1}$ with size >1 for the eigenvalue 1.

Combining the above argument, we have (i) $\Leftarrow$ (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii). As mentioned in the introduction, we discuss whether the converse of first arrow holds in the next section. Theorem 5.1 states that this is true, and we can prove that the above three conditions are equivalent.

5. Main theorem

Let $f=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}} a_\alpha x^\alpha \in \mathbb{C}[x_1,...,x_n]$ be a polynomial in n-variables with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$ such that $f(0)=0$. Assume that f is convenient and non-degenerate at 0. Set $V:=\{x\in\mathbb{C}^n | f(x)=0\}$, the hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then V has an isolated singular point at $0\in\mathbb{C}^n$.

Theorem 5.1. *Under the above conditions, we have* $gr^W_i H^0((\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0)=0$ $(i\neq 0), \dim gr^W_0 H^0((\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0)=1$, *and*

$$\dim gr^W_i H^{n-2}((\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0)=J^1_{n-i-1}\ (i\in\mathbb{Z}),$$

where J^1_i *is the number of the Jordan blocks of* $\Phi_{0,n-1}$ *with size* i *for the eigenvalue* 1 *(see Section 4.1).*

Corollary 5.2. *In the situation of Theorem 5.1, the following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) *The IC stalk* $(\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0$ *has a pure weight* 0.
(ii) *There is no Jordan block of* $\Phi_{0,n-1}$ *with size* >1 *for the eigenvalue* 1.

Therefore, we can see that the three conditions in the last part of Section 4.2 are equivalent.

Remark 5.3. We can write the statement in Theorem 5.1 in terms of the virtual Poincaré polynomial as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} P((\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0)(T) &= 1+(-1)^{n-2}\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} J^1_{n-i-1}T^i \\ &= 1+(-1)^{n-2}(J^1_{n-1}+J^1_{n-2}T+J^1_{n-3}T^2+\cdots+J^1_1T^{n-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 5.4. By Theorem 4.2, there is no Jordan block of $\Phi_{0,n-1}$ with size $\geq n$ for the eigenvalue 1. Hence we have $N=J_1+\cdots+J_{n-1}$, where N is the dimension of the invariant subspace of $\Phi_{0,n-1}$ in $H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{Q})$. On the other hand, by Proposition 4.11 we have $N=\dim H^{n-2}((\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0)=\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\dim gr^W_i H^{n-2}((\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0)$. Comparing these results with Theorem 5.1, we see that the two decompositions of N are the same.

First, we show Theorem 5.1 in the following special case.

Lemma 5.5. *Assume that* f *satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5.1, and there exists* $m\geq 1$ *such that* f *is a polynomial of degree* m*, and its degree* m *part is* $\sum_{1\leq i\leq n} x_i^m$*. Moreover, suppose that* f *is non-degenerate. Then, Theorem 5.1 holds.*

Proof. Let $g\in\mathbb{C}[x_0,\ldots,x_n]$ be the projectivization of f. Namely we set $g=x_0^m f(x_1/x_0,\ldots,x_n/x_0)$. Set a hypersurface $X:=\{x\in\mathbb{P}^n | g(x)=0\}$ of $\mathbb{P}^n$. Then under the identification $\{[x_0:\cdots:x_n]\in\mathbb{P}^n | x_0\neq 0\}\simeq\mathbb{C}^n$, we have $X\cap\mathbb{C}^n=V$.

We decompose $\mathbb{P}^n$ into a disjoint union of small tori as $\mathbb{P}^n = \bigsqcup T_J$, where we set $T_J := \{[x_0 : \cdots : x_n] | x_i = 0 \ (i \notin J) \ x_i \neq 0 \ (i \in J)\} \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^{|J|-1}$ for $\emptyset \neq J \subset \{0, \ldots, n\}$. By the non-degeneracy of f, for any $J \neq \{0\}$, the torus T_J intersects X transversally. Thus there is only one singular point $p = [1 : 0 : \cdots : 0]$ in the hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$.

Consider the following distinguished triangle in $\mathrm{D}^b(SHM^p)$:

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X \setminus \{p\}; \widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_X}) \to \mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X; \widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_X}) \to \mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(\{p\}; \widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_X}) \xrightarrow{+1} . \tag{3}$$

By $(\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_X})|_{X\setminus\{p\}} = \mathbb{Q}_{X\setminus p}$, we have

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X \setminus \{p\}; \widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_X}) = \mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X \setminus \{p\}; \mathbb{Q}_{X\setminus p}).$$

Moreover, obviously we have,

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(\{p\}; \widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_X}) = (\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0.$$

Applying the virtual Poincaré polynomials to the distinguished triangle (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X; \widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_X}))(T) &= \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X \setminus \{p\}; \mathbb{Q}))(T) + \mathrm{P}((\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0)(T) \\ &= \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X; \mathbb{Q}))(T) - 1 + \mathrm{P}((\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0)(T). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Consider the following distinguished triangle in $D^b(SHM^p)$:

$$(Rf_!\mathbb{Q}^H_{\mathbb{C}})_0 \to \psi_t(Rf_!\mathbb{Q}^H_{\mathbb{C}^n}) \to \phi_t(Rf_!\mathbb{Q}^H_{\mathbb{C}^n}) \xrightarrow{+1}, \tag{5}$$

where $t : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is the identity map. As objects in $D^b(\mathrm{Mod}(\mathbb{Q}))$, the first term is isomorphic to $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(V; \mathbb{Q})$, and the second one is isomorphic to $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(V_\epsilon; \mathbb{Q})$. Here we set $V_\epsilon := f^{-1}(\epsilon)$ for a sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. By the next lemma, the third one is isomorphic to $H^{n-1}(F_0; \mathbb{Q})[-(n-1)]$, where F_0 is the Milnor fiber of f at 0 (see Section 4.1).

Lemma 5.6 ([8])**.** *We have an isomorphism* $\phi_t(Rf_!\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{C}^n}) \simeq (\phi_f(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{C}^n}))_0$

Proof. Let $\Gamma_\infty(f)$ be the convex hull of the union of $\{0\}$ and $NP(f)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let Σ_0 be the fan formed by all faces of $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^n$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, Σ_1 the dual fan of $\Gamma_\infty(f)$. Since f is convenient, Σ_0 is a subfan of Σ_1. According to [12], there exists a smooth subdivision Σ of Σ_1 which contains Σ_0 as a subfan. Denoting by X_Σ the toric variety associated to Σ, this implies that X_Σ is a compactification of $\mathbb{C}^n$. We regard f as an element of the function field of X_Σ, and eliminate its indeterminacy by blowing up X_Σ (see [8]). Then we obtain a smooth compact variety $\widetilde{X_\Sigma}$ and a proper morphism $\pi\colon \widetilde{X_\Sigma} \to X_\Sigma$ such that $g := f \circ \pi$ has no indeterminacy. Then g can be considered as a proper map from $\widetilde{X_\Sigma}$ to $\mathbb{P}$. The restriction map $g^{-1}(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$ of g is also proper, and we use the same symbol g as this restriction map. We thus obtain a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^n & \xrightarrow{\iota} & g^{-1}(\mathbb{C}) \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{t} & \mathbb{C}\ , \end{array}$$

where ι are the inclusion maps. By [4, Proposition 4.2.11] and the properness of g, we obtain the following isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{R}\Gamma(g^{-1}(0);\phi_g(\iota_!\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{C}^n})) &\simeq \phi_t(Rg_*\iota_!\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{C}^n})\\ &\simeq \phi_t(Rf_!\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{C}^n}).\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the constitutions of $\widetilde{X_\Sigma}$ and g that the support of $\phi_g(\iota_!\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{C}^n})$ does not intersect $\widetilde{X_\Sigma}\setminus\mathbb{C}^n$ (see [8]). Moreover, since f has only one singular point 0, the support of $\phi_g(\iota_!\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{C}^n})$ is $\{0\}$. Furthermore, obviously we have $\phi_g(\iota_!\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{C}^n})_0 \simeq \phi_f(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{C}^n})$. Combining these results, we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{R}\Gamma(g^{-1}(0);\phi_g(\iota_!\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{C}^n})) &\simeq \phi_g(\iota_!\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{C}^n})_0\\ &\simeq \phi_f(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{C}^n})_0.\end{aligned}$$

□

The i-th cohomology group $H^i_c(V;\mathbb{Q})$ of $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(V;\mathbb{Q})$ has Deligne's mixed Hodge structure. The i-th cohomology group $H^i_c(V_\epsilon;\mathbb{Q})$ of $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(V_\epsilon;\mathbb{Q})$ has the limit mixed Hodge structure which encodes the nearby monodromy action. The $(n-1)$-th cohomology group $H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{Q})$ has the limit mixed Hodge structure which encodes the Milnor monodromy action (see Section 3.1). On the other hand, each cohomology group of $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(V_\epsilon;\mathbb{Q})$ has also Deligne's mixed Hodge structure. In what follows, we use the symbol $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{Q})_{\mathrm{Del}}$ to express $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(V_\epsilon;\mathbb{Q})$ endowed with Deligne's mixed Hodge structure, and the symbol $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(V_\epsilon;\mathbb{Q})_{\lim}$ to express $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(V_\epsilon;\mathbb{Q})$ endowed with the limit mixed Hodge structure.

Taking the virtual Poincaré polynomials of the distinguished triangle (5), we have

$$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(V,\mathbb{Q})(T) = \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(V_\epsilon;\mathbb{Q})_{\lim})(T) - \mathrm{P}(H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{Q})[-(n-1)])(T). \tag{6}$$

Decompose $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X;\mathbb{Q}))(T)$ into $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(V;\mathbb{Q}))(T)$ and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(V;\mathbb{Q}))(T)$, and by using (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X;\mathbb{Q}))(T) &= \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(V;\mathbb{Q}))(T) + \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X\setminus V;\mathbb{Q}))(T)\\ &= \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(V_\epsilon;\mathbb{Q})_{\lim})(T) - \mathrm{P}(H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{Q})[-(n-1)])(T)\\ &\quad + \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X\setminus V;\mathbb{Q}))(T).\end{aligned}$$

Putting this into (4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X;\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_X}))(T) = \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(V_\epsilon;\mathbb{Q})_{\lim})(T) - \mathrm{P}(H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{Q})[-(n-1)])(T)\\ +\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X\setminus V;\mathbb{Q}))(T) - 1 + \mathrm{P}((\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0)(T).\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

First, we examine the first term in this equation. We denote by $F^\bullet$ the Hodge filtration of $H^{n-1}_c(V_\epsilon;\mathbb{C})$, by $W_\bullet$ Deligne's weight filtration, and by $M_\bullet$ the monodromy filtartion, respectively (see Section 3.1). We denote by $h^{p,q}_{\lim}(H^i_c(V_\epsilon;\mathbb{Q}))$ the (p,q)-mixed Hodge number with respect to the limit mixed Hodge structure, and by $h^{p,q}_{\mathrm{Del}}(H^i_c(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{Q}))$ the (p,q)-mixed Hodge number with respect to Deligne's mixed Hodge structure. For $(p,q)\in\mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$, we set $A_{p,q} := \dim gr^p_F gr^M_{p+q} gr^W_{n-1} H^{n-1}_c(V_\epsilon;\mathbb{C})$.

Then, for $(p,q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geq 0}$ such that $p+q \neq n-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim gr_F^p gr_{p+q}^M H_c^{n-1}(V_\epsilon;\mathbb{C}) &= \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \dim gr_F^p gr_{p+q}^M gr_i^W H_c^{n-1}(V_\epsilon;\mathbb{C}) \\
&= \dim gr_F^p gr_{p+q}^M gr_{p+q}^W H_c^{n-1}(V_\epsilon;\mathbb{C}) \\
&\quad + \dim gr_F^p gr_{p+q}^M gr_{n-1}^W H_c^{n-1}(V_\epsilon;\mathbb{C}) \\
&= \dim gr_F^p gr_{p+q}^W H_c^{n-1}(V_\epsilon;\mathbb{C}) \\
&\quad + \dim gr_F^p gr_{p+q}^M gr_{n-1}^W H_c^{n-1}(V_\epsilon;\mathbb{C}) \\
&= h^{p,q}_{\mathrm{Del}}(H_c^{n-1}(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{C})) + A_{p,q}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, the second and third equalities follows from Proposition 3.8. According to the weak Lefschetz type theorem (see [1, Proposition 3.9]), we have $H_c^i(V_\epsilon;\mathbb{C}) = 0$ $(i \neq n-1, 2(n-1))$. The monodromy actoin on $H_c^{2(n-1)}(V_\epsilon;\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ is trivial, and hence $h^{n-1,n-1}_{\lim}(H_c^{2(n-1)}(V_\epsilon;\mathbb{Q})) = 1$ and $h^{p,q}_{\lim}(H_c^{2(n-1)}(V_\epsilon;\mathbb{Q})) = 0$ $((p,q) \neq (n-1,n-1))$. We thus obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
(8)\quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(V_\epsilon;\mathbb{Q})_{\lim})(T) &= \sum_{p,q}(-1)^{n-1} h^{p,q}_{\lim}(H_c^{n-1}(V_\epsilon;\mathbb{Q}))T^{p+q} + T^{2(n-1)} \\
&= \sum_{p+q\neq n-1}(-1)^{n-1}(h^{p,q}_{\mathrm{Del}}(H_c^{n-1}(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{Q})) + A_{p,q})T^{p+q} \\
&\quad + \sum_{p+q=n-1}(-1)^{n-1} h^{p,q}_{\lim}(H_c^{n-1}(V_\epsilon;\mathbb{Q}))T^{n-1} + T^{2(n-1)} \\
&= \sum_{p,q}(-1)^{n-1} h^{p,q}_{\mathrm{Del}}(H_c^{n-1}(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{Q}))T^{p+q} \\
&\quad + T^{2(n-1)} + Q_1(T) \\
&= \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{Q})_{\mathrm{Del}})(T) + Q_1(T),
\end{aligned}$$

where we set

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1(T) := \sum_{p+q\neq n-1} A_{p,q}T^{p+q} &+ \sum_{p+q=n-1}(-1)^{n-1} h^{p,q}_{\lim}(H_c^{n-1}(V_\epsilon;\mathbb{Q}))T^{n-1} \\
&-(-1)^{n-1}\sum_{p+q=n-1} h^{p,q}_{\mathrm{Del}}(H_c^{n-1}(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{Q}))T^{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the polynomial $\sum_{p+q\neq n-1} A_{p,q}T^{p+q}$ has a symmetry centered at the degree $n-1$ (see Section 3.1), $Q_1(T)$ is a symmetric polynomial centered at the degree $n-1$. The projectivization of $f - \epsilon \in \mathbb{C}[x_1,...,x_n]$ is a homogeneous polynomial $g - \epsilon x_0^m \in \mathbb{C}[x_0,...,x_n]$. We denote by X_ϵ the hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$ defined by this polynomial. Then we have $X_\epsilon \cap \mathbb{C}^n = V_\epsilon$. Recall that we decomposed $\mathbb{P}^n$ into small tori. Since the intersection of each torus and X_ϵ is smooth, X_ϵ is smooth in $\mathbb{P}^n$. We define the hyperplane $\mathbb{L}$ by $\mathbb{L} := \{[x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n | x_0 = 0\} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Then we have $\mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{C}^n \sqcup \mathbb{L}$ and $X_\epsilon \cap \mathbb{L} = X \cap \mathbb{L}$. By $f^{-1}(\epsilon) \sqcup (X \cap \mathbb{L}) = X_\epsilon$, we have

$$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(f^{-1}(\epsilon);\mathbb{Q})_{\mathrm{Del}})(T) + \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X\cap\mathbb{L};\mathbb{Q}))(T) = \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X_\epsilon;\mathbb{Q}))(T).$$

Note that $X \cap \mathbb{L} = X \setminus V$. Thus the sum of the first and third terms of the right hand side of the equation (7) is calculated as:

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(V_\epsilon;\mathbb{Q})_{\lim})(T) + \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X\cap\mathbb{L};\mathbb{Q}))(T)\\ &= \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X_\epsilon;\mathbb{Q}))(T) + Q_1(T)\\ &= Q_2(T) + Q_1(T),\end{aligned}$$

where, we define $Q_2(T) := \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X_\epsilon;\mathbb{Q}))(T)$. Since X_ϵ is a smooth hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$, the polynomial $Q_2(T)$ has a symmetry centered at the degree $n-1$ by the Poincaré duality.

Next, we examine the second term of the right hand side of the equation (7). By Proposition 4.5, we have

$$\mathrm{P}(H^{n-1}(F_0;\mathbb{Q})[-(n-1)])(T) = Q_3(T) + Q_4(T),$$

where $Q_3(T)$ and $Q_4(T)$ has a symmetry centered at the degree $n-1$ and n, respectively. More precisely, the truncations of $Q_3(T)$ and $Q_4(T)$ up to the degree $n-1$ and n are equal to

$$\mathrm{trun}_{\le n-1}\, Q_3(T) = (-1)^{n-1}\sum_{\lambda\neq 1}(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(\sum_{k\ge 0} J^\lambda_{n-i+2k})T^i),$$

$$\mathrm{trun}_{\le n}\, Q_4(T) = (-1)^{n-1}\sum_{i=2}^{n}(\sum_{k\ge 0} J^1_{n+1-i+2k})T^i.$$

Then we can rewrite the equation (7) as,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X;\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_X}))(T) &= Q_1(T) + Q_2(T) - (Q_3(T)+Q_4(T))\\ &\quad - 1 + \mathrm{P}((\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0)(T).\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

As we explained in Section 4.2, the polynomial $\mathrm{P}((\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0)(T)$ has degree $\le n-2$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{trun}_{\ge n-1}\,\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X;\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_X}))(T)\\ &= \mathrm{trun}_{\ge n-1}(Q_1(T)+Q_2(T)-Q_3(T)-Q_4(T)).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X;\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_X}))(T)$ has a symmetry centered at the degree $n-1$, we have also

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{trun}_{\le n-1}\,\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{R}\Gamma_c(X;\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_X}))(T)\\ &= T^{2(n-1)}\,\mathrm{trun}_{\ge n-1}(Q_1(T')+Q_2(T')-Q_3(T')-Q_4(T'))|_{T'=T^{-1}}.\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Then by taking the truncations $\mathrm{trun}_{\le n-1}(*)$ of the both sides of (9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{P}((\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0)(T)\\ &\quad= 1 + T^{2(n-1)}\,\mathrm{trun}_{\ge n-1}(Q_1(T')+Q_2(T')-Q_3(T')-Q_4(T'))|_{T'=T^{-1}}\\ &\qquad - \mathrm{trun}_{\le n-1}(Q_1(T)+Q_2(T)-Q_3(T)-Q_4(T))\\ &\quad= 1 + \mathrm{trun}_{\ge n-1}(-Q_4(T'))|_{T'=T^{-1}} - \mathrm{trun}_{\le n-1}(-Q_4(T))\\ &\quad= 1 + (-1)^{n-2}\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} J^1_{n-i+1}T^i,\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from the symmetries centered at degree $n-1$ of $Q_1(T), Q_2(T), Q_3(T)$. This completes the proof of Lemma 5.5. □

As we stated in the following Proposition 5.7, the coefficients of f above Γ_f do not affect the mixed Hodge structure of the IC stalk. Fix a polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ such that the union of bounded faces of $\mathrm{Conv}(\bigcup_{\alpha \in P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} \alpha + \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0})$ is equal to Γ_f. Set $l := \#\{P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ and naturally identify $\mathbb{C}^l$ with $\mathbb{C}^{P \cap \mathbb{Z}^n}$. For $(y_\alpha) \in \mathbb{C}^l$, set $f_{(y_\alpha)} := \sum_\alpha (a_\alpha + y_\alpha) x^\alpha$ (when $\alpha \notin P$, $y_\alpha = 0$), and let $V_{(y_\alpha)}$ be the zero set of $f_{(y_\alpha)}$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Consider the subset of $\mathbb{C}^l$ consisting $(y_\alpha) \in \mathbb{C}^l$ such that $\Gamma_{f_{(y_\alpha)}}$ is equal to Γ_f and $f_{(y_\alpha)}$ is non-degenerate at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We define $A \subset \mathbb{C}^l$ as the path-connected component of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^l$ in this set. Note that A is a Zariski open dence subset of $\mathbb{C}^l$. Under this setting, we have the following result.

Proposition 5.7. *For any $(y_\alpha) \in A$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the mixed Hodge numbers of the i-th cohomology group $H^i((\mathrm{IC}_{V_{(y_\alpha)}})_0)$ of $(\mathrm{IC}_{V_{(y_\alpha)}})_0$ are the same as those of $H^i((\mathrm{IC}_V)_0)$.*

This Proposition will be proved in Section 6. By Proposition 5.7, the proof of Theorem 5.1 is reduced to the case in Lemma 5.5 as follows.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Since non-degeneracy is a generic condition (see [12]), there exist a polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ and $(y_\alpha) \in A$ for which $f_{(y_\alpha)}$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 5.5. By Proposition 5.7, the mixed Hodge numbers of $(\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_{V_{(y_\alpha)}}})_0$ and $(\widetilde{\mathrm{IC}_V})_0$ are the same. On the other hand, since $f_{(y_\alpha)}$ and f are non-degenerate at 0, convenient and satisfy the condition $\Gamma_{f_{(y_\alpha)}} = \Gamma_f$, the Jordan normal forms of the Milnor monodromies of $f_{(y_\alpha)}$ and f are the same (see Theorem 4.10). Then the assertion immediately follows from Lemma 5.5. □

6. Proof of Proposition 5.7

In this section, we prove Proposition 5.7. Let $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}} a_\alpha x^\alpha \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, ..., x_n]$ be a polynomial in n-variables with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$ such that $f(0) = 0$. Assume that f is convenient and non-degenerate at 0, and $V := \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n | f(x) = 0\}$ has only one singular point 0. In what follows, we use the notation of Proposition 5.7, P, l, A, $f_{(y_\alpha)}$, $V_{(y_\alpha)}$, etc. Define $\widetilde{f} \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}]$ by $\widetilde{f}(x_1, \ldots, x_n, (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}) := \sum_\alpha (a_\alpha + y_\alpha) x^\alpha$ (when $\alpha \notin P$, $y_\alpha = 0$). We denote by $\widetilde{V}$ the zero set of $\widetilde{f}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n+l}$. Note that $f_{(y_\alpha)} \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is $\widetilde{f}(x_1, .., x_n, (y_\alpha))$, for any fixed element $(y_\alpha) \in \mathbb{C}^l$.

Lemma 6.1. *For any compact set in A, there is a neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbb{C}^n$ independent of (y_α) in this compact set such that $V_{(y_\alpha)}$ has only one singular point 0 in this neighborhood.*

Proof of Lemma 6.1. Fix a compact set in A, we write it by the same symbol A. Decompose $\mathbb{C}^n$ into the small tori $\bigsqcup T_I$ naturally, that is, $T_I := \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n | x_i \neq 0 \ (i \in I), x_i = 0 \ (i \notin I)\} \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^{|I|}$, for $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$. For each $I \neq \emptyset$, it is sufficient to show that $V_{(y_\alpha)} \cap T_I$ has no singular point near 0 independently of (y_α). Thus, it is sufficient to show that $V_{(y_\alpha)} \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ has no singular point in some neighborhood of 0 independently of (y_α).

Set $v_0 = (1, \ldots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $N_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^n | \langle x, v_0 \rangle = 0\} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Using an isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}^n \simeq N_0 \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}^n / N_0$, we have a change of coordinate: $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n \simeq \mathrm{Spec}\, \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}^n] \simeq$

$\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}[N_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}^n/N_0] \simeq \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}[N_0] \oplus \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}^n/N_0] \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^* \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. Denoting the new coordinate by $(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$, there is $k_0 \geq 1$ such that

$$f_{(y_\alpha)}(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = \sum_{k \geq 0} g_{k,(y_\alpha)}(z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1}) z_n^{k_0+k}.$$

Here $g_{0,(y_\alpha)}(z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1})$ is a Laurent polynomial which corresponds to a Laurent polynomial $(f_{(y_\alpha)})_F = \sum_{\alpha \in F}(a_\alpha + y_\alpha)x^\alpha$, where F is the face of $\Gamma_+(f_{(y_\alpha)})$ supported by v_0. Moreover, since $f_{(y_\alpha)}$ is non-degenerate at 0, $g_{0,(y_\alpha)}(z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1})$ is non-degenerate as a Laurent polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[{z_1}^{\pm}, \ldots, {z_{n-1}}^{\pm}]$. Under the above change of coordinate, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{(y_\alpha)} \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^n &= \{(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n | f_{(y_\alpha)}(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = 0\} \\ &= \{(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n | \sum_{k \geq 0} g_{k,(y_\alpha)}(z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1}) z_n^k = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it is enough to show that the zero set of

$$h_{(y_\alpha)}(z_1, \ldots, z_n) := \sum_{k \geq 0} g_{k,(y_\alpha)}(z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1}) {z_n}^k$$

in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ has no singular point near 0. Moreover, even if $h_{(y_\alpha)}(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ times some power of $z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1}$, the zero set of original Laurent polynomial does not change. Thus we may assume that each $g_{k,(y_\alpha)}$ have no negative power, that is, $g_{k,(y_\alpha)}$ is a polynomial.

Suppose there is a sequence $\boldsymbol{z}^j = ({z_1}^j, \ldots, {z_n}^j) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ $(j \in \mathbb{N})$ such that $|\boldsymbol{z}^j| \to 0$ and there exists $(y_\alpha^j) \in A$ such that $\boldsymbol{z}^j$ is a singular point in $V_{(y_\alpha^j)} \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. Since (y_α^j) is in the compact set, we may assume that (y_α^j) converges to a point $(\overline{y_\alpha}) \in A$

We write $Z_{(y_\alpha)}$ the zero set of $g_{0,(y_\alpha)}$ in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-1}$. This is smooth since $g_{0,(y_\alpha)}$ is non-degenerate. Using the method in [1], we embed it into a smooth projective variety in a projective toric variety. That is, we consider the smooth projective subdivision Σ of the dual fan Σ_0 of polytope $NP(g_{0,(y_\alpha)}) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ (this is independent of (y_α)), and the smooth toric variety X_Σ associated to Σ. Since X_Σ is compact, the sequence $({z_1}^j, \ldots, {z_{n-1}}^j)$ has a subsequence which converges to a point p in X_Σ. Thus we may assume that $({z_1}^j, \ldots, {z_{n-1}}^j)$ converges to p. Note that $|{z_n}^j| \to 0$. Take an affine chart $U_p (\supset (\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-1})$ of p. Denote by $\overline{Z_{(y_\alpha)}}$ the closure of $Z_{(y_\alpha)}$ in X_Σ. Since $\overline{Z_{(y_\alpha)}}$ transversally intersects all torus orbits of X_Σ, $\overline{Z_{(y_\alpha)}}$ is smooth. Moreover, $\overline{Z_{(y_\alpha)}} \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-1} = Z_{(y_\alpha)}$. Let D be the canonical torus invariant Weil divisor of X_Σ. We can define a global section of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(D)$ whose zero set is $\overline{Z_{(y_\alpha)}}$ in U_p. We write it again $g_{0,(y_\alpha)}$. The restriction of this section into $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-1}$ is $g_{0,(y_\alpha)}$.

We see $h_{(y_\alpha)}(z_1,\dots,z_n)$ as a polynomial in $(n-1)$-variables $h_{(y_\alpha)}(z_n)(z_1,\dots,z_{n-1})$ with a parameter z_n. From the setting of $({z_1}^j,\dots,{z_{n-1}}^j)$, on $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{n-1}$, we have

$$h_{(y_\alpha^j)}({z_n}^j)({z_1}^j,\dots,{z_{n-1}}^j) = g_{0,(y_\alpha^j)}({z_1}^j,\dots,{z_{n-1}}^j) + \sum_{k\geq 1} g_{k,(y_\alpha^j)}({z_1}^j,\dots,{z_{n-1}}^j){z_n^j}^k = 0, \tag{11}$$

$$d(h_{(y_\alpha^j)}({z_n}^j))({z_1}^j,\dots,{z_{n-1}}^j) = dg_{0,(y_\alpha^j)}({z_1}^j,\dots,{z_{n-1}}^j) + \sum_{k\geq 1} dg_{k,(y_\alpha^j)}({z_1}^j,\dots,{z_{n-1}}^j){z_n^j}^k = 0. \tag{12}$$

Since $|{z_n}^j|\to 0$, $({z_0}^j,\dots,{z_{n-1}}^j)$ is bounded, and $g_{k,(y_\alpha^j)}$ and $dg_{k,(y_\alpha^j)}$ have no negative power, we see that the second terms of the equations (11) and (12) converge to 0 ($j\to\infty$). From the continuity of $g_{0,(y_\alpha)}$ and $dg_{0,(y_\alpha)}$, we have

$$g_{0,(\overline{y_\alpha})}(p) = 0, dg_{0,(\overline{y_\alpha})}(p) = 0.$$

This contradicts the smoothness of $\overline{Z_{(\overline{y_\alpha})}}$. This completes the proof. □

Next, in order to show the cone theorem ([10, Theorem 2.10]) with some parameter, we show that [10, Corollary 2.9] holds for $V_{(y_\alpha)}$ independently of (y_α).

Lemma 6.2 (cf. [10, Corollary 2.9])**.** *Fix a relatively compact open subset U of A. There exists $\epsilon_0>0$ such that for any positive numver $\epsilon<\epsilon_0$ and any $(y_\alpha)\in U$, the sphere in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with radius ϵ centered at 0 transversally intersects $V_{(y_\alpha)}$(as a real manifold).*

Proof. We identify $\mathbb{C}^n\simeq\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, $\mathbb{C}^l\simeq\mathbb{R}^{2l}$, and denote by $\boldsymbol{x}=(x_1,\dots,x_{2n}),\boldsymbol{y}=(y_1,\dots,y_{2l})$ the coordinates. Define $r\colon\mathbb{R}^{2(n+l)}\to\mathbb{R}$ by $r(x,y):=|x|^2=x_1^2+\cdots+x_{2n}^2$. By [10, Corollary 2.8], the restriction of r to $\widetilde{V}\setminus\widetilde{V}_{\mathrm{Sing}}$ has only finite critical points. Thus, we can take ϵ_0 such that ϵ_0^2 is smaller than the critical points except for 0. By Lemma 6.1, taking a sufficiently small ϵ_0, for any $(y_\alpha)\in U$, there is only one singular point 0 of $V_{(y_\alpha)}$ in $B(0,\epsilon_0)$.

Take $(\boldsymbol{x}_0,\boldsymbol{y}_0)\in B(0,\epsilon_0)^*\times U$. Since $\boldsymbol{x}_0$ is not a singular point of $\widetilde{V}_{(\boldsymbol{y})}$, there is $0\leq i_0\leq 2n$ such that $\partial f_{(\boldsymbol{y})}/\partial x_{i_0}(\boldsymbol{x})\neq 0$. Thus changing the coordinate near $(\boldsymbol{x}_0,\boldsymbol{y}_0)$ by $(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y})\mapsto(x_1,\dots,x_{i_0-1},\widetilde{f}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}),x_{i_0+1},\dots,x_n,\boldsymbol{y})$, we may assume that the local coordinate of $\widetilde{V}$ is $(x_1,\dots,x_{i_0-1},x_{i_0+1},\dots,x_{2n},y_1,\dots,y_{2l})$, and the local coordinate of $V_{(\boldsymbol{y})}$ is $(x_1,\dots,x_{i_0-1},x_{i_0+1},\dots,x_{2n})$. Noting that of $\partial r/\partial y_i=0$ ($0\leq i\leq l$), there exists k ($\neq i_0$) such that $\partial r/\partial x_k(\boldsymbol{x}_0,\boldsymbol{y}_0)\neq 0$. We can take the local coordinate $(x_1,\dots,x_{i_0-1},x_{i_0+1},\dots,x_{2n})$ of $V_{(\boldsymbol{y}_0)}$, and thus the restriction of the mapping from $\mathbb{C}^n$ into $\mathbb{R}$, $\boldsymbol{x}\mapsto|x|^2=x_1^2+\cdots+x_{2n}^2$, to $V_{(\boldsymbol{y}_0)}\cap B(0,\epsilon_0)^*$ has no critical point. This establishes the lemma. □

For $\epsilon>0$, we denote by $D(0,\epsilon)$ the closed ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$ centered at 0 with radius ϵ, and by $D(0,\epsilon)^*$ the punctured ball $D(0,\epsilon)\setminus\{0\}$. For $\epsilon<\epsilon_0$, by [10, Corollary 2.10], the pair $(D(0,\epsilon),V_{(y_\alpha)}\cap D(0,\epsilon))$ is homeomorphic to the pair of the cone of $\partial D(0,\epsilon)$ and the cone of the link $V_{(y_\alpha)}\cap\partial D(0,\epsilon)$. Thus, $V_{(y_\alpha)}\cap B(0,\epsilon)^*$ is homotopic to the link $V_{(y_\alpha)}\cap\partial D(0,\epsilon)$ of $V_{(y_\alpha)}$. More strongly, the following lemma states that this homotopy depend on (y_α) continuously with respect to a parameter (y_α).

Lemma 6.3 (cf. [10, Theorem 2.10])**.** *Under the above conditions, $\widetilde{V}\cap(B(0,\epsilon)^*\times U)$ is homotopic to $\widetilde{V}\cap(\partial D(0,\epsilon)^*\times U)$.*

Proof. We prove [10, Theorem 2.10] again, with some parameters. We use the notation in the proof of Lemma 6.2. For example, we identify $\mathbb{C}^n \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, $\mathbb{C}^l \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2l}$, and denote by $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$, $\boldsymbol{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_{2l})$ the coordinates. Define $r\colon \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^l \to \mathbb{R}$ by $r(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_{2n}^2$. We shall construct a vector field $\boldsymbol{w}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$ on $B(0,\epsilon)^* \times U$ which satisfies the following conditions (1)-(3): (1) for all points, $\mathbb{C}^l$-component of $\boldsymbol{w}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$ is 0, (2) for all point, $\boldsymbol{w}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$ is toward a direction away from the origin in $\mathbb{C}^n$, (3) for all $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \in \widetilde{V} \cap (B(0,\epsilon)^* \times U)$, $\boldsymbol{w}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$ is tangents to $V_{(y_\alpha)}$. First, we construct this vector field locally. Fix $(\boldsymbol{x}_0, \boldsymbol{y}_0) \in B(0,\epsilon)^* \times U$. Take the local coordinate $W_\beta \subset B(0,\epsilon)^* \times U$ as in the proof of Lemma 6.2. That is, taking i_0 such that $\partial f_{(\boldsymbol{y})}/\partial x_{i_0}(\boldsymbol{x}) \neq 0$, change of the coordinate: $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_{i_0-1}, \widetilde{f}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}), x_{i_0+1}, \dots, x_{2n}, \boldsymbol{y})$. When $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \notin \widetilde{V}$, a vector field on W_β can be define by $\boldsymbol{v}_\beta(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq 2n} \partial/\partial x_i$. When $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \in \widetilde{V}$, from the proof of Lemma 6.2, there exists $k (\neq i_0)$ such that $\partial r/\partial x_k(\boldsymbol{x}_0, \boldsymbol{y}_0) \neq 0$. Hence we define a vector field on W by $\boldsymbol{v}_\beta(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) = \partial/\partial x_k$. In either case, $\boldsymbol{v}_\beta(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$ satisfies (1)-(3). Taking a partition of unity associated to a open covering $\{W_\beta\}$ of $B(0,\epsilon)^* \times U$, we can define a vector fields on $B(0,\epsilon)^* \times U$ by $\boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) = \sum_\beta \phi_\beta \boldsymbol{v}_\beta(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$. Moreover, define $\boldsymbol{w}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) := \boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})/A(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$. Here $A(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) := \sum_{0 \leq i \leq 2n} 2x_i \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_i(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$, where $\boldsymbol{v}_i$ is the i-th component of $\boldsymbol{v}$. This satisfies (1)-(3).

We consider the differential equation on $B(0,\epsilon)^* \times U$:

$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{p}(t)}{dt} = \boldsymbol{w}(\boldsymbol{p}(t)).$$

For a solution $\boldsymbol{p}(t)$, the derivation of $r(\boldsymbol{p}(t))$ is $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq 2n} 2x_i \cdot \boldsymbol{w}_i(\boldsymbol{p}(t)) = 1$, where $\boldsymbol{w}_i$ is the i-th component of $\boldsymbol{w}$. Thus as a solution of the above differential equation, we can always take the one which satisfies $r(\boldsymbol{p}(t)) = t$ ($\sharp$).

Combining the existence and the uniqueness of a solution of ordinary differential equations and ($\sharp$), for all $\boldsymbol{a} \in \partial D(0,\epsilon)$ and $\boldsymbol{y} \in U$, there exists a unique solution $\boldsymbol{p}(t) = \boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{y}, t)$ such that $\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{y}, \epsilon^2) = (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{y})$. A solution $\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{y}, t)$ is smooth on $\partial D(0,\epsilon)^* \times U \times (0, \epsilon^2]$. Taking the condition (1),(2),(3) and ($\sharp$) into account, for a fixed $(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{y}) \in \partial D(0,\epsilon) \times U$, the second component of $\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{y}, t)$ is $\boldsymbol{y}$, for all $t \in (0, \epsilon^2]$. Therefore, for fixed $(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{y}) \in \widetilde{V} \cap (\partial D(0,\epsilon) \times U)$, $\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{y}, t) \in V_{(\boldsymbol{y})}$ and $\boldsymbol{p}$ maps $\partial D(0,\epsilon) \times U \times (0, \epsilon^2]$ into $B(0,\epsilon)^* \times U$ diffeomorphically. □

In what follows, we assume that $U \subset \mathbb{C}^l$ is contractible. The next lemma is needed to prove Proposition 6.5.

Lemma 6.4. *In the above situation, for all $\epsilon < \epsilon_0$ and $(y_\alpha) \in U$, $\widetilde{V} \cap (B(0,\epsilon)^* \times U)$ is homotopic to $(V_{(y_\alpha)} \cap \partial D(0,\epsilon)) \times \{(y_\alpha)\}$.*

Proof. From Lemma 6.3, $\widetilde{V} \cap (B(0,\epsilon)^* \times U)$ is homotopic to $\widetilde{V} \cap (\partial D(0,\epsilon) \times U)$. The second projection $\widetilde{V} \cap (\partial D(0,\epsilon) \times U) \to U$ is a proper submersion. In fact, as the argument in the proof of Lemma 6.2, there are $1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq 2n$ such that $(x_1, \dots, x_{k_1-1}, x_{k_1+1}, \dots, x_{k_2-1}, x_{k_2+1}, \dots, x_{2n}, y_1, \dots, y_{2l})$ is a local coordinate of $\widetilde{V} \cap (\partial D(0,\epsilon) \times U)$. By Ehresmann's fibration theorem, this mapping is a locally trivial fibration. Since U is contractible, $\widetilde{V} \cap (\partial D(0,\epsilon) \times U)$ is homotopic to one fiber. □

Next, we consider the intersection cohomology complex $\mathrm{IC}_{\widetilde{V}}$ of $\widetilde{V}$, and its mixed Hodge module $\mathrm{IC}^H_{\widetilde{V}}$. For $(y_\alpha) \in A$, the dimension of the stalk at $(0, (y_\alpha))$, $(\mathrm{IC}_{\widetilde{V}})_{(0,(y_\alpha))}$

$\simeq (\mathrm{IC}_{V_{(y_\alpha)}})_0$ is equal to the dimension of the invariant subspace of the Milnor monodromy of $f_{(y_\alpha)}$ at 0 by Lemma 6.1 and Proposition 4.11. According to Theorem 4.10, this is determined by the shape of $\Gamma_{f_{(y_\alpha)}} = \Gamma_f$, and thus is independent of (y_α). More strongly, the next follows.

Lemma 6.5. *The restriction of* $\mathrm{IC}_{\widetilde{V}}$ *to* $0 \times A$ *is cohomologically locally constant, that is, each cohomology of* $\mathrm{IC}_{\widetilde{V}}$ *is a locally constant sheaf.*

Proof. The smooth part of $\widetilde{V}$ is $\widetilde{V}_{\mathrm{reg}} = \widetilde{V} \cap ((\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times A)$. we set $j := \widetilde{V}_{\mathrm{reg}} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{V}$. Since $\mathrm{IC}_{\widetilde{V}} = \tau^{\leq -1} Rj_* \mathbb{Q}_{\widetilde{V}_{\mathrm{reg}}}[n+l-1]$, it is sufficient to show that each cohomology of the restriction of $Rj_*\mathbb{Q}_{\widetilde{V}_{\mathrm{reg}}}$ to $0 \times A$ are locally constant sheaves.

We prepare the following lemma.

Lemma 6.6. *Let* X *be a topological space which is locally compact Hausdorff and countable at infinity. Let* $S \subset X$ *be a subset,* $Z \subset X$ *be a closed subset, and* $j\colon S \hookrightarrow X$ *be the inclusion mapping. For* $F \in D^+(S)$*, we have an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma(Z; (Rj_*F)|Z) \simeq \varinjlim_{Z \subset V} \mathrm{R}\Gamma(V \cap S; F).$$

Here V *ranges through the family of open neighborhoods of* Z*, and denote by* $\varinjlim_{Z\subset V} \mathrm{R}\Gamma(V \cap S;\ \cdot\ )$ *the derived functor of a left exact functor* $\varinjlim_{Z\subset V} \Gamma(V \cap S;\ \cdot\ )$*. In particular, we have an isomorphism*

$$H^k(Z; (Rj_*F)|_Z) \simeq \varinjlim_{Z \subset V} H^k(V \cap S; F).$$

Proof. Take an injective resolution I of F. The complex $(Rj_*I)|_Z$ consists of c-soft sheaves. Since X is countable at infinity (so is Z), c-soft sheaves are $\Gamma(Z;\cdot)$-injective (see [6, Proposition 2.5.10]). Thus we have $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(Z; (Rj_*F)|_Z) \simeq \Gamma(Z; (j_*I)|_Z)$. Since X is paracompact, we have

$$\Gamma(Z; (j_*I)|_Z) \simeq \varinjlim_{Z \subset V} \Gamma(V; j_*I) \simeq \varinjlim_{Z \subset V} \Gamma(V \cap S; I)$$

(see [6, Proposition 2.5.1] for the first equality). This completes the proof. □

Take a contractible set $U \subset A$ and a point $(y_\alpha) \in U$, and set $X = \widetilde{V} \cap (\mathbb{C}^n \times U)$, $S = \widetilde{V}_{\mathrm{reg}} \cap (\mathbb{C}^n \times U) = \widetilde{V} \cap ((\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times U)$, $Z = \widetilde{V} \cap (\{0\} \times U)$. Applying this lemma, we have

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma(\widetilde{V} \cap (\{0\} \times U); Rj_*\mathbb{Q}_{\widetilde{V}_{\mathrm{reg}}}|_{\widetilde{V} \cap (\{0\} \times U)}) \simeq \varinjlim_{0<\epsilon<\epsilon_0} \mathrm{R}\Gamma(\widetilde{V} \cap (B(0,\epsilon)^* \times U); \mathbb{Q}).$$

By Lemma 6.4, $\widetilde{V} \cap (B(0,\epsilon)^* \times U)$ is homotopic to $V_{(y_\alpha)} \cap (\partial D(0,\epsilon) \times \{(y_\alpha)\})$. Therefore we have $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(\widetilde{V} \cap (B(0,\epsilon)^* \times U); \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathrm{R}\Gamma(V_{(y_\alpha)} \cap (\partial D(0,\epsilon) \times \{(y_\alpha)\}); \mathbb{Q})$.

On the other hand, the stalk of $Rj_*\mathbb{Q}_{\widetilde{V}_{\mathrm{reg}}}$ at $(0, (y_\alpha))$ is

$$\varinjlim_{\substack{0<\epsilon<\epsilon_0 \\ (y_\alpha)\in U'}} \mathrm{R}\Gamma(\widetilde{V} \cap (B(0,\epsilon)^* \times U'); \mathbb{Q}),$$

where U' ranges through the family of open contractible neighborhoods in U of (y_α). From Lemma 6.4, $\widetilde{V} \cap (B(0,\epsilon)^* \times U')$ is homotopic to $(V_{(y_\alpha)} \cap \partial D(0,\epsilon)) \times \{(y_\alpha)\}$. Hence, we have $\mathrm{R}\Gamma(\widetilde{V} \cap (B(0,\epsilon)^* \times U'); \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathrm{R}\Gamma((V_{(y_\alpha)} \cap \partial D(0,\epsilon)) \times \{(y_\alpha)\}; \mathbb{Q})$.

Therefore, the morphism induced by the inclusion mapping from $(0,(y_\alpha))$ into $\widetilde{V}\cap(\{0\}\times U)$,

$$\mathrm{R}\Gamma(\widetilde{V}\cap(\{0\}\times U);Rj_*\mathbb{Q}_{\widetilde{V}_{\mathrm{reg}}}|_{\widetilde{V}\cap(\{0\}\times U)})\to\mathrm{R}\Gamma((0,(y_\alpha));Rj_*\mathbb{Q}_{\widetilde{V}_{\mathrm{reg}}}|_{\widetilde{V}\cap(\{0\}\times U)}),$$

is isomorphic. Thus $Rj_*\mathbb{Q}_{\widetilde{V}_{\mathrm{reg}}}|_{\widetilde{V}\cap(\{0\}\times U)}$ is a cohomologically locally constant sheaf. Therefore $Rj_*\mathbb{Q}_{\widetilde{V}_{\mathrm{reg}}}|_{\widetilde{V}\cap(\{0\}\times A)}$ is also a cohomologically locally constant sheaf. □

Finally, we shall prove Proposition 5.7, by using Lemma 6.5.

Proof of Proposition 5.7. We consider the restriction of the mixed Hodge module $\mathrm{IC}^H_{\widetilde{V}}|_{0\times A}\in D^bMHM(A)$ of $\mathrm{IC}^H_{\widetilde{V}}$, and each cohomology of $\mathrm{IC}^H_{\widetilde{V}}|_{0\times A}$ (in fact, except for two degrees, this is 0). The underlying perverse sheaf of these mixed Hodge modules on A is each perverse cohomology of $\mathrm{IC}_{\widetilde{V}}|_{0\times A}$. However in this situation, the i-th perverse cohomology is the $(i-l)$-th ordinary cohomology, and this is locally constant sheaf by Lemma 6.5.

Fix a degree i. We denote by (M,F,K,W) the mixed Hodge module on A the i-th cohomology of $\mathrm{IC}^H_{\widetilde{V}}|_{0\times A}$. Here M is a regular holonomic D_A-module on A, F is a good filtration of M, K is the underlying perverse sheaf of M, W is a finite decreasing filtration of (M,F,K) in $MF_{rh}(D_A,\mathbb{Q})$. From the above argument, K is a shifted locally constant sheaf by degree $-l$. Thus, M associated to K is an integrable connection. Hence all D_A-submodules of M in the filtration W is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_A$-modules, and these must be an integrable connection (see [5]). Therefore, (M,F,K,W) is a mixed Hodge module made from a variation of mixed Hodge structures on a path-connected space. Thus, the mixed Hodge numbers of the mixed Hodge structures of the stalks at all points in A of this variation of mixed Hodge structure are the same. This completes the proof. □

References

[1] V. I. Danilov and A. G. Khovanskiĭ, *Newton polyhedra and an algorithm for calculating Hodge-Deligne numbers*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **50** (1986), no. 5, 925–945.

[2] P. Deligne, *Théorie de Hodge. II*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **40** (1971), 5–57.

[3] J. Denef and F. Loeser, *Weights of exponential sums, intersection cohomology, and Newton polyhedra*, Invent. Math. **106** (1991), no. 2, 275–294.

[4] A. Dimca, *Sheaves in topology*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[5] R. Hotta, K. Takeuchi, and T. Tanisaki, *D-modules, perverse sheaves, and representation theory*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 236, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2008. Translated from the 1995 Japanese edition by Takeuchi.

[6] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Sheaves on manifolds*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 292, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990. With a chapter in French by Christian Houzel.

[7] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, *Schubert varieties and Poincaré duality*, Geometry of the Laplace operator (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Univ. Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii, 1979), 1980, pp. 185–203.

[8] Y. Matsui and K. Takeuchi, *Monodromy at infinity of polynomial maps and Newton polyhedra (with an appendix by C. Sabbah)*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **8** (2013), 1691–1746.

[9] ———, *Motivic Milnor fibers and Jordan normal forms of Milnor monodromies*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **50** (2014), no. 2, 207–226.

[10] J. Milnor, *Singular points of complex hypersurfaces*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 61, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1968.

[11] P. Nang and K. Takeuchi, *Characteristic cycles of perverse sheaves and Milnor fibers*, Math. Z. **249** (2005), no. 3, 493–511.

[12] M. Oka, *Non-degenerate complete intersection singularity*, Actualités Mathématiques. [Current Mathematical Topics], Hermann, Paris, 1997.

[13] M. Saito, *Mixed Hodge modules*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **26** (1990), no. 2, 221–333.
[14] ———, *Mixed Hodge modules and applications*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I, II (Kyoto, 1990), 1991, pp. 725–734.
[15] C. Schnell, *An overview of morihiko saito's theory of mixed hodge modules* (2014). arXiv:1405.3096.
[16] A. Stapledon, *Formulas for monodromy* (2014). arXiv:1405.5355.
[17] J. H. M. Steenbrink, *Mixed Hodge structure on the vanishing cohomology*, Real and complex singularities (Proc. Ninth Nordic Summer School/NAVF Sympos. Math., Oslo, 1976), 1977, pp. 525–563.
[18] J. Steenbrink and S. Zucker, *Variation of mixed Hodge structure. I*, Invent. Math. **80** (1985), no. 3, 489–542.
[19] M. G. M. van Doorn and J. H. M. Steenbrink, *A supplement to the monodromy theorem*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **59** (1989), 225–233.

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, the University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan

E-mail address: takahiro@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp